

Appl. No. 09/751,334  
Amdt. Dated 06/28/2004  
Reply to Office Action of 5/28/2004

### REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

This Amendment is in response to the Office Action mailed May 28, 2004. In the Office Action, claims 1-32 were subject to a restriction requirement. Claims 1-16 were assigned to Group I, and claims 17-32 were assigned to Group II. Applicant hereby elects to go forward with claims 17-32 associated with Group II without traverse. Accordingly, Applicants have cancelled claims 1-16 without prejudice, amended claims 29 and 32, and added claims 33-46 for consideration by the Examiner.

### Conclusion

Applicants respectfully request that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

Respectfully submitted,

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP

Dated: 06/28/2004

By

  
William W. Schaal

Reg. No. 39,018

Tel.: (714) 557-3800 (Pacific Coast)

12400 Wilshire Boulevard, Seventh Floor  
Los Angeles, California 90025

### CERTIFICATE OF MAILING/TRANSMISSION (37 CFR 1.8A)

I hereby certify that this correspondence is, on the date shown below, being:

MAILING

FACSIMILE

☐ deposited with the United States Postal Service  
as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:  
Commissioner for Patents, PO Box 1450,  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

☒ transmitted by facsimile to the Patent and  
Trademark Office.

Date: 06/28/2004

  
Susan McFarlane

06/28/2004

Date